
Course evaluation - Now You See Me: Criminal Courts and the Eyewitness

Respondents: 23
Answer Count: 11
Answer Frequency: 47,83 %

Please evaluate the statements below, using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 is the most positive.

. I am generally satisfied with the course.


I am generally satisfied with the course.	Number of responses
1	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	2 (18,2%)
5	9 (81,8%)
Total	11 (100,0%)



. The course contents supported my learning in line with the aims and objectives of the course.


If needed to answer the question, please check the syllabus here: Syllabus Now You See Me: Criminal Courts and the Eyewitness and the course description on Athena.

The course contents supported my learning in line with the aims and objectives of the course. *If needed to answer the question, please check the syllabus here: Syllabus Now You See Me: Criminal Courts and the Eyewitness and the course description on Athena.*	Number of responses
1	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	1 (9,1%)
5	10 (90,9%)
Total	11 (100,0%)




. The teaching gave me the tools required to accomplish the learning outcomes of the course.

The teaching gave me the tools required to accomplish the learning outcomes of the course.	Number of responses
1	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	3 (27,3%)
5	8 (72,7%)
Total	11 (100,0%)



. The examination form gave me the right conditions to reach the aims and objectives of the course.

The examination form gave me the right conditions to reach the aims and objectives of the course.	Number of responses
1	0 (0,0%)
2	1 (9,1%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	2 (18,2%)
5	8 (72,7%)
Total	11 (100,0%)




. How many hours have you generally spent studying (including lectures, seminars, self-studies) per week:

How many hours have you generally spent studying (including lectures, seminars, self-studies) per week:
12
12
12
16
20
14 hours
18
4
2-3h
Around 14 hours
11-12 hours

. Did the course meet your expectations?

Did the course meet your expectations?	Number of responses
Yes	10 (90,9%)
No	1 (9,1%)
Total	11 (100,0%)



Comments
Found the expectations high for a summer course. The teacher also did not teach much material besides going over the readings.
The course arrangement and the teaching is great!

. What was positive about the course?

What was positive about the course?
The videos, the concrete application of theories
The lecturer was really kind and open to discussions in class. She was compassionate as well about the unit which made it easier to understand
The lecturer was very enthusiastic about her course and the materials and structure made it easy to comprehend.
The teacher is certainly one of the best ive ever had. A funny, insightful teacher who explains complex thoughts very well
the discussion and the lecture
I loved how engaging the lessons were
I enjoyed the activities and the discussions.
High participation in class
The content of the course was great
Loved how despite it being a course on a very specific aspect of criminal courts/trials, it covered a wide range of types of eyewitnesses. Enjoyed psych research papers as part of the readings as they introduced a new perspective into the legal discussion.
I really enjoyed it and it was really eye-opening, when studying criminal law we did not dive into things such as eyewitness that much so it was really interesting to see how much eyewitness testimonies can affect outcomes for trials.


. What could be improved?

What could be improved?
N/A
no
Maybe having a more clear presentation of course content / material instead of solely going over the readings and discussing. Did not feel like there was much structure. The readings and reading questions also felt repetitive.
I think the readings were quite long at times
The only issue I had with the course was the vast amount of reading as I had very little time to get it all completed
Maybe the number of lectures, I found them a bit long.
There could have been more group work in the class, rather than asking questions individually. This way probably more discussion would have taken place.
The bulk of readings per class
I found the readings really long which I did not really expect for a summer school (+100 pages/ class)
Nothing i can think of
I found that the readings were a bit much, but it is expected as it's quite an in-depth topic.

. On a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 is the most positive, how would you rate the following?


the academic course content
the academic course content

the academic course content	Number of responses
1	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	2 (18,2%)
5	9 (81,8%)
Total	11 (100,0%)




the lectures
the lectures

the lectures	Number of responses
1	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	2 (18,2%)
4	1 (9,1%)
5	8 (72,7%)
Total	11 (100,0%)




the readings/discussion questions
the readings/discussion questions

the readings/discussion questions	Number of responses
1	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	3 (27,3%)
4	4 (36,4%)
5	4 (36,4%)
Total	11 (100,0%)



the examination
the examination


the examination	Number of responses
1	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	5 (45,5%)
5	6 (54,5%)
Total	11 (100,0%)



Comment
I found the lectures interesting, but a bit repetitive.
it is great

. On a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 is the most positive, please rate the literature and materials used in the course.

On a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 is the most positive, please rate the literature and materials used in the course.	Number of responses
1	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	1 (9,1%)
4	6 (54,5%)
5	4 (36,4%)
Total	11 (100,0%)



Comment
Repetitive
Adversarial litigation has a very long history. As a Chinese student, I am fairly familiar with it, because China’s Criminal Procedure Law has drawn heavily on the adversarial model. Of course, like criminal procedure everywhere, it still has plenty of room for improvement. This course has been both inspiring and helpful to me; thank you very much.

. Is there anything about the course you wish to share with us that has not been adressed in this form?

Is there anything about the course you wish to share with us that has not been adressed in this form?
nothing else
no
N/A