Course report

Course name and code: Advanced International Arbitration JU678M

Semester: VT26

Credits: 15

Responsible teacher: Daria Kozlowska-Rautiainen

Number of students: 37

Number of respondents to the feedback form: 6

Description of changes since previous year: The programme is back to one group of under 40 students.

The strengths of the course's according to the students

The students were overall satisfied with the course. What has been emphasized in the feedback was the content of the course and the variety of topics. Moreover, the seminars which allow for active participation in the discussions have been greatly appreciated as well as effective teaching methods including group work. Assignments where students can choose topics for essay themselves as well as seminars with real case scenarios were also pointed out. As each year what stands out is the participation of guest lecturers who provide different perspectives. Also the negotiations exercise with Columbia Law School has been noted as valuable.

The weaknesses of the course according to the students

Some students mentioned the order of the topics, need for more teaching with the regular staff of the Programme and less guest lectures. The challenges of grading and use of AI was also pointed out. More training in practical skills was also mentioned.

Teachers' comments


This year's structure of topics and combination of lectures and seminars worked well. The development of the students has been significant during the course. The changes that are foreseen for the next year focus on more lectures with ICAL academic teachers and more practical skills training. The challenges brought by the use of AI are being constantly taken into consideration.

ICAL Advanced International Arbitration VT26

Answer Count: 6


1. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	1 (16,7%)
4	3 (50,0%)
5. Totally agree	2 (33,3%)
Total	6 (100,0%)




2. The content of the course was relevant to me for achieving the intended learning outcomes.

The content of the course was relevant to me for achieving the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	1 (16,7%)
4	2 (33,3%)
5. Totally agree	3 (50,0%)
Total	6 (100,0%)




3. The teaching gave me good conditions to achieve the intended learning outcomes.

The teaching gave me good conditions to achieve the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	2 (33,3%)
4	1 (16,7%)
5. Totally agree	3 (50,0%)
Total	6 (100,0%)



4. The examination/assessment tested how well I achieved the intended learning outcomes.

The examination /assessment tested how well I achieved the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	1 (20,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	2 (40,0%)
5. Totally agree	2 (40,0%)
Total	5 (100,0%)



5. On average, I have spent approximately the following number of hours per week on the course, total time, including self-study.

On average, I have spent approximately the following number of hours per week on the course, total time, including self-study.	Number of responses
0 - 2	0 (0,0%)
3 - 5	1 (16,7%)
6 - 8	0 (0,0%)
9 - 11	1 (16,7%)
12 - 14	0 (0,0%)
15 - 17	1 (16,7%)
18 - 20	2 (33,3%)
21 - 23	0 (0,0%)
24 - 26	1 (16,7%)
27 - 29	0 (0,0%)
Total	6 (100,0%)

