Course report

Course name and code: ICAL International Commercial Arbitration JU677M

Semester: HT25

Credits: 30

Responsible teacher: Daria Kozlowska-Rautiainen

Number of students: 36

Number of respondents to the feedback form: 12

Description of changes since previous year: The most significant change was that the Programme had double the number of students in HT24. In HT25 we are back to 30-40 students with lectures and seminars held in one group.

The strengths of the course's according to the students

The respondents were generally highly satisfied with the course and emphasized the following as the strengths of the course: teachers with high level of knowledge, practical approach to learning, guidance from the teachers, access to sources, guest lecturers and interactions with practitioners. The main teacher in the course was considered by some as the big strength of the course. As every year, the mock arbitration exercise was emphasized as one of the highlights of the course. The structure of the course, weekly document with reading assignments and activities as well as well-prepared seminar documents with discussion points, reading and exercises well also mentioned as strengths of the course. The multicultural and international perspectives have been appreciated.

The weaknesses of the course according to the students

The weakness mentioned this year had to do with some more intense examination periods and some periods with less students' activity. Some feedback was directed towards structuring of seminars provided by temporary staff.

Teachers' comments


The course will continue as in the previous year. However, the work intensity will be more balanced throughout the course. The main teacher in the course will continue to strive to provide high quality, structured teaching.

ICAL International Commercial Arbitration HT25

Answer Count: 12


1. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	3 (25,0%)
4	4 (33,3%)
5. Totally agree	5 (41,7%)
Total	12 (100,0%)




2. The content of the course was relevant to me for achieving the intended learning outcomes.

The content of the course was relevant to me for achieving the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	2 (16,7%)
4	2 (16,7%)
5. Totally agree	8 (66,7%)
Total	12 (100,0%)




3. The teaching gave me good conditions to achieve the intended learning outcomes.

The teaching gave me good conditions to achieve the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	3 (25,0%)
4	5 (41,7%)
5. Totally agree	4 (33,3%)
Total	12 (100,0%)



4. The examination/assessment tested how well I achieved the intended learning outcomes.

The examination /assessment tested how well I achieved the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	4 (33,3%)
4	4 (33,3%)
5. Totally agree	4 (33,3%)
Total	12 (100,0%)



5. On average, I have spent approximately the following number of hours per week on the course, total time, including self-study.

On average, I have spent approximately the following number of hours per week on the course, total time, including self-study.	Number of responses
8 - 10	4 (33,3%)
11 - 13	0 (0,0%)
14 - 16	0 (0,0%)
17 - 19	0 (0,0%)
20 - 22	4 (33,3%)
23 - 25	1 (8,3%)
26 - 28	0 (0,0%)
29 - 31	2 (16,7%)
32 - 34	0 (0,0%)
35 - 37	1 (8,3%)
Total	12 (100,0%)

